RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely,

Signature

Onold - waldon

Printed Name

Address

Address

Author Signature

Author Signature

Author Signature

Author Signature

Author Signature

Address

Author Signature

Author Signature

Author Signature

Address

Author Signature

Author Signature

Author Signature

Address

Author Signature

Auth

STECETUED

MAY 3 . 2004

SUPREME COURT

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  Lating III	4-25-04
Signature  Signature  LATHRYN CROSS  Printed Name	
Address J.	
Email	MAY 3 - 2004  CLAPCORDIN DAVIS SUPREME COURT
	SUPPLEME COURT

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely,

Cometical Metalf
Signature

Dight A. Metalf
Printed Name

1318+ Compass
Address

Detroit MI 48227
City, State, Zip

histograph 29 @ netzero.com
Email

RECEIVED

MAY 3 - 2004

SLEED SUPPLEME COURT

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  Ommo Ottom  Signature  DaMou Goodman  Printed Name
13136 Compass
DETROIT U8227 City, State, Zip
Email



RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sinderely,
Signature
Mavaler Stimble Ja.
Printed Name
1276 Timber creek Tr
Address
Porthae, M. 48340
City, State, Zip
Email



RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  Signature  Rossi Murry  Printed Name	April 28.04
Address  Detroit Mi48277  City, State, Zip	
Email	RECEIVED  MAY 3 - 2004  CHECOROMORUS  CONTRACTORUS  CONTRA

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Canto Michael & Mal	4-28-2
Signature	
JOHO RMEXCALE	
Printed Name	
13184 ComPASS	
Address	
DEABOUT MI LIGHT	
City, State, Zip	
	g de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co
Email	**************************************



RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely, Mayon Newsome	4-28-64
Signature	
Marian Newsome Printed Name	
131 36 Compass Address	
Detroit, MI 48227 City, State, Zip	RECEIDA MA
Email	

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  Signature	4-28-04
Printed Name	
Address Confass	
Det M. 4802 City, State, Zip	
Email	MAY CHILLIAN
Lilidii	
	To the thing to the second
	S C COURT

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  Melin Cailell  Signature	4-28-04
Melvine CH SWell Printed Name	
13155 COMPASS Address	
Detroit Mtch 48227 City, State, Zip	
Email	

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely,	
Signature Signature	
Melvin Criswell Jc. Printed Name	
13155 CompaSS Address	
Wetroit MT. 48227 City, State, Zip	



4/28/04

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  Jeneil Disquell  Signature	4-28-04
JEneil CRISWELL Printed Name	
6770 MERRIMAN RDAPI 177 Address	
Romulus, m.; 48174 City, State, Zip	A RECEIVE
Email	

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely,  Joselyn fellowed  Joselyn Graenwood
Printed Name
13155 Compass
Address
Detroit MI 48227
City, State, Zip
•
Email



04/23/84

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely,
Telma Clowney
Signature
VELMA ChOWNEY
Printed Name
4538 HOLLUMB
Address
NETRUIT, MICH. 48214
City, State, Zip
Email



4/28/2004

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely,

Signature

Stoven

Printed Name

(3) onlearly A (20) w.

Address

Diffy Mich. 4820

City, State, Zip

Email

MAY 3 - 2004

CLECCORBIN DAYS
SHEREME COURT

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

2004

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Lila Edwards	4/29/04
Signature	
LILA Edwards	
Printed Name	
7389 CAMERON	
Address	
DETROIT, MI 48811	
City, State, Zip	and first state of the state of
	RECEIVED
Email	
	1 (A) (A)
	12. p. 200
	Consin Days

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely,

DETROIT, MZ 482/14 City, State, Zip

rhonda hamblialo net zero. com

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Michael McKinling Signature	4-29-04
Michael McKinley Printed Name	
4160 Rohas Address	
City, State, Zip	
Email	**************************************



RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerety,

Here Welch
Signature

RENEE WELCH

Printed Name

4408 RoHNS

Address

DETROIT, M. 48214

City, State, Zip

Leman CDameurral, west,

Email

MAY 3 - 2004

Concent Davis

Ornella Davis

Ornella Davis

Ornella South

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely,

Bobbie J. Math

82008 Jefferson Ave Address

Deforoit, Mi 48214 City, State, Zip

griotravel @ aol. com
Email

April 29, 2004



RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  Burns	4/29/14
$\frac{(\mathcal{F}VV)\mathcal{F}V}{\text{Signature}} = \frac{(\mathcal{F}VV)\mathcal{F}V}{\mathcal{F}} = \frac{1}{2} $	
Finted Name	
4500 Burns Address	
Det mi 48214	RECEIVED
City, State, Zip	( MAY 3 ~ 2004
Email	CLEAN SUPREME COURT

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely.

Printed Name

New He begins 8 @ Yahoo, Com

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely, Lane M. Bradler	4/29/04
Signature	
DIAME M. BRAdley	
Printed Name	
P.O. BOX 14706	
Address	
Let, Mi 48214	
City, State, Zip	
Email	

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely,

1266 Burns St Address

Oex. MT 48214
City. State, Zip

Summerhant a Staglabalinet
Email

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

HMWCARP & AOL. COM

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

4-29-

Sincerely,
Just the Signature
FLED 1 Aut7) Printed Name
13710 WEG/BROX
Address
MYMOUTH MI 48170
City, State, Zip
•
Fmail

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely, Signature	4/30/04
ROY LI NORMAN Printed Name	4/
Address  OAL PART Mi (1873)  City, State, Zip	
Email	2004 2004

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely, W. Jackellauck	afr30,2004
Signature  W. RAE PENICIC  Printed Name	
<u> 1898   0 H   0</u> Address	
DETROIT, M/1 4822/ City, State, Zip	TEDENZ
Email	MAY 3 - 2004

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely,	
Larbar Amarcus	april 30, 2004
Signature	
MRS. BARBARA P. HAROUS	
Printed Name	
19191 KeysTONE ST	
Address	
DETROIT, 14/ 48234	RECEIVER
City, State, Zip	
Email	MAY 3 - 2004
Енган	

X supreme <u>c</u>o

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely, Alecca C	QueR 4-3004
Signature  Rebecca 341 CX  Printed Name	
508 1,090,5 Address	
City, State, Zip	AECEIVED \
Email	( MAY 3 - 2004 )
	CONSIN DAVIS

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Matthew Coolloge Signature	04/30/04
MATThew Woodhouse Printed Name	
2537S, Fadalle Hardens Address	
Detroit mi 48206 City, State, Zip	TEOS VED
Email	MAY 3 - 2004  CAS CORDIN DAVIS  CAS CORDIN DAVIS
	SUPPREME COMMITTEE

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  Signature  Signature	4/30/04
huling ORR Rutledge Printed Name	
13/01 Fred St Address Detail May 485/5	TO SERVEN
City, State, Zip  Email	MAY 3 - 2004  CLASCORBIN DAVIS SUPREME COURT

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Mei B Jarkens Signature	4-30-04
_	•
ARBIE B. PERKINS	
Printed Name	
19767 CR + NBAOOK Address	
DETROIT, MI, 4622/ City, State, Zip	RECEIVED
Email	MAY 3 - 2004
	CORBIN DAYIS

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

# Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely, Sens Hall	4/30/04
Emma JEAN HALL	
Printed Name  3414 Defeeson  Address	
City, State, Zip	
Email	Maria 2704

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

#### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  Jenny Let Jordon  Signature	4-30-04
J'MMY Lee JORDAN/ Printed Name	
5321HARDING Address	
DetRoit Mchigan 48213 City, State, Zip	
Email	MA ( = 2004

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Mathe Lutte Se	4-30-04
Signature	
MATTIE RUTLEPSE Printed Name	
6852 cloud	
Address	
Detroit, Mich 48819	RECEIVED
City, State, Zip	
Email	MAY 3 - 2004
Ellian	Congression Davis
	- Court

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

## Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system from "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. This would be a total deviation from what our Constitution stands for.

Sincerely,  Allace Range Signature
DELOKES RANGE Printed Name  Printed Name
Address
City, State, Zip
Email

MAY 3 - 2004

CORDIN DAVIS

CORDIN DAVIS

CORDIN DAVIS

4/30/04

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
andre Jones	4-28-04
Signature/	
André Jones Printed Name	
13177 compass	
Address	
Det MI 48219	
City, State, Zip	The state of the s
	MAY 3 - 2004
Email	DAVIS STANDARE COURT

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,
War Hall
Signature Ward Washingtow
Printed Name
9352 Sorrento
Address
Tetrat Michigan, 48238 City, State, Zip
A start and the
Email



RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely, Lalmer Lel Jordon	4-30-04
Signature	
PALMEYLEE JIRDAN Printed Name	
5321 HARDING Address	
Det Roit Michigan 48213 City, State, Zip	
Email	

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	4-28.04
Signature	
Printed Name	
14469 FORDHAM	
Address	
DETROIT MT 48205	ورود و المعادات المعادات و المعاد
City, State, Zip	
MURRELP TO GERMAN. COM	MAY 3
Email	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1



RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

## Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Bruilla Vissy Signature	4/30/04
BERNOE H, HOSEY Printed Name	
346/1 ESL/E Address	
Wetert Mi. 48238	REGERVED
City, State, Zip	MAY 2 - 2004
Email	AND DAVIS

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	January.
Jarol Jarogges L	to byen 269
Signature/	
ISIAL Spragginsh	
Printed Name	
1.6, Box 19365	
Address	and the state of t
Dol M1 482/09	
City, State, Zip	MAY
A	2004 )
Email	
	Control Days

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  Cora C. Johnson Bell  Signature	4-30-04
CORAC. JOHNSON-BELL Printed Name	
19140 Harned St. Address	RECEIVED
Letroit M' 48234 City, State, Zip	MAY 3 - 2004
Email	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR OF THE C

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  **Marie William Signature**	04/30/04
Marie Queston Printed Name	
3320 Spinnaker LN- Apt 5-D Address	and the second s
City, State, Zip	MAY 3 - 2004
Email	

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely, .	4-23-84
Signature  May Johnson  Printed Name  17200 11/0 10 57	
Address  DE FORM 48213  City, State, Zip	
Email	C COURT

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Caroly E. Xurres 4/30/04	
Signature	
CAROLYN E. HARRIS	
Printed Name	
16529 FREEZAND	
Address	
DETRUIT MICH-48235 RECEIVE	÷.
	N. O. Control of
MAY 3 - 2004	
Email Volume 1	
	and the state of t

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Mildred S. Wash	4/30/84
Signature	
MILDRED S. WASH	,
Printed Name	
19224 DEQUINDRE	
Address	
Detroit Mi. 48234 City, State, Zip	
City, State, Zip	
	May 2 3
Email	\$904

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Jussi J. Plass Signature	4-30-04
Sessic SRoss Printed Name	
12771 Wyoming Address	
Det Mt 48338 City, State, Zip	2004
Email	A DAVIS

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

## Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	,
Recould Thomas	4/30/04
Signature	<del></del>
Roosevett Thomas Printed Name	
13105 CAMEN Address	
Def. M1, 48213 City, State, Zip	
	MAY 3 - 2004
Email	Consider Days

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

## Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Typosmilh	4/70-201
Signature ,	
FIXTESMITH	
Printed Name	
17/57 Prellost	
Address	
Deliver mi-482	35
City, State, Zip	And the second s
	2004
Email	DAVIS DAVIS

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

## Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,  (Larles William)  Signature	4-30-04
Printed Name  10840 MeGUL  Address	
DET. MI. 48224 City, State, Zip	MAY 3 - 2004
Email	

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerety,  Signature  Signature	04-30-2004
SHNBRA BROWN  Printed Name  3920 RIVARD  Address  DEFROIF MIYSOT  City, State, Zip	MAY 3 - 2004  QUARANTONIS  QUARANTONIS
Email	

RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ADM FILE NO. 2003-04

### Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, but the adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Your name is indicative of justice being meted out fairly. The passing of these proposals would definitely trump injustice over justice. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence would not be allowed. Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? Surely these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	4/30/04
Signature	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
RONALD ADAMS Printed Name	THE CENTER OF TH
19736 SIRENTO Address	MAY 3 - 2004
DETROIT MI 4235 City, State, Zip	Continue Court
Email	

Re: Proposed Amendment to ADM File No. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? For surely, these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system, as we know it today. A total deviation from what our constitution intended to be.



4-25-04 Date

Re: Proposed Amendment to ADM File No. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? For surely, these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system, as we know it today. A total deviation from what our constitution intended to be.

Sincerely,
mendata Sealer
Signature
MEREDITH W. SEALEY Printed Name
Printed Name
49712 JEFFERSON Address
Address
NEW BALTIMONE M. 48047 City, State, Zip
City, State, Zip
Email



april 25, 2004

# **Dear Justices:**

Regarding the Proposed Amendment to MCR: 6.502.

To adopt the above mentioned proposal would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated. Adopting of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocent. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated.

To adopt amendments would change the legal system "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. A total deviation from what our government intended the constitution of this land to be.

Sincerely,

Aud Mondo
Signature
Pay Mondoe
Printed Name
34625 26 Mile Ro
Address

Wew Haven MT 48048
City, State, Zip

Email

Macamb Correct in hal



Re: Proposed Amendment to ADM File No. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? For surely, these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	april 24.2004
Signature	Date
Latty 6. LOVE	
³ Printed Name	
3281 PINGREE	
Address	
DETEOT HE. 48206	RECEIVED
City, State, Zip	
	APR 2 8 2004
Email	CLERK SUPPLEMENTS

Michigan Supreme Court Clerk's Office PO Box 30052

Lansing, MI 48909

Re: Proposed Amendment to ADM File No. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

Sincaraly

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? For surely, these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system, as we know it today. A total deviation from what our constitution intended to be.

Unicerety,
Que At Death
Signature
LEE M. Scott
Printed Name
2912 Prince Hall Dr. Address
Address
DETROIT MT 48207 City, State, Zip
City, State, Zip
Email



4-24-04 Date

Re: Proposed Amendment to ADM File No. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

Cinanalis

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? For surely, these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system, as we know it today. A total deviation from what our constitution intended to be.

Sincerety,
Jonnetta Strike
Signature
SOMNEHA WASTE
Printed Name
4424 Frsehen SK
Address
Det Mich 48214
City, State, Zip
Email



424-04

Re: Proposed Amendment to ADM File No. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? For surely, these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

To adopt these amendments would change our legal system "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system, as we know it today. A total deviation from what our constitution intended to be.

Sincerely,		
flitte Her guson		
Signature		
tatice A. tenguson		
Printed Name //		
1640 Clendale StR		
Address		
Detroit MI 48238		
City, State, Zip		
•		
Email		
Late 1 City		



4-24-04 Date

## Dear Justices:

Regarding the Proposed Amendment to MCR: 6.502.

To adopt the above mentioned proposal would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated. Adopting of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocent. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated.

Sincerely, Letter	4/24/04
Signature	/ Daté
Shelia Webb	
Printed Name	
142 & Grand	
Address	
Highland Park, Mr. 4820S	
City, State, Zip	
	RECEIVED
Email	
	APR 97
	Standard Court

Re: Proposed Amendment to ADM File No. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? For surely, these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Josephine D. Carrain Signature	April 26, 2004
<sup>₹</sup> Signature <sup>()</sup>	Date
Josephine D. Casgrain	
Printed Name	
1939 Jackson Ave. 226 Address	
Address	/ RECALL
Ann Arbor, MI 48103	PECEIVED
City, State, Zip	1 4/20 s
and the state of t	SUPREME COURT
Email	SUPPLIANTE /
	THE COURT /

# Dear Justices:

Regarding the Proposed Amendment to MCR: 6.502.

To adopt the above mentioned proposal would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated. Adopting of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocent. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated.

To adopt amendments would change the legal system "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. A total deviation from what our government intended the constitution of this land to be.

Sincerely,

Signature

Date

Date

Signature

Printed Name

Address

Address

City, State, Zip

Re: Proposed Amendment to ADM File No. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys.

Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? For surely, these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Peggy Hansen	4-26.04
/// Signature	Date
Pegay HANSON Printed Name	
7182 Browdbridge fif Address	
Marine City, Ma 45039 City, State, Zip	RECEIVED
Email	Stead On Day

Re: Proposed Amendment to ADM File No. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? For surely, these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,		
Daniel 275 gc		
Signature		
Daniel E Bishop Printed Name		
Printed Name /		
53635 Katarina		
Address		
Chesterfield, Mi 48051		
City, State, Zip		
renrollet @ hotma, la Coin		
Email		



Re: Proposed Amendment to ADM File No. 2003-04

Dear Justices:

To adopt the above mentioned proposals would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated, adoption of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocence. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated? For surely, these proposed amendments would weigh the heaviest on the incarcerated.

Sincerely,	
Jouan Stewar	f-25-04
Signature	Date
Louann Stewart	
Printed Name	
	Channel Dr RECEIVED
Address	
Harsen's Fsland	MI 48028 (APR 27 2004
City, State, Zip	\_ \
	CLER CORBIN DAVIS  SUPREME COURT
Email	THE COURT /

Dear Justices:

Regarding the Proposed Amendment to MCR: 6.502.

To adopt the above mentioned proposal would not only be unfair and unjust to the Michigan incarcerated. Adopting of these proposed amendments would create a court system in which prosecutors would have an overwhelming power over defendants and their attorneys. Important evidence would not be introduced and facts that could render a defendant's innocent. Is justice no longer blind? Do we now have two legal systems, one for the incarcerated and one for the non-incarcerated.

To adopt amendments would change the legal system "Innocent until proven guilty" to "Guilty until proven innocent". To change or amend the laws would create a failure and breakdown of our legal system as we know it today. A total deviation from what our government intended the constitution of this land to be.

Signature

Signature

Signature

Frinted Name

34625 26 MILE Rd.

Address

NEW HAVEN MI. 48048

City, State, Zip

Email

